

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SHANNON CAMPBELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and  
MICHAEL STUART,

Defendants.

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK  
13-CV-00233-LHK

**VERDICT FORM**

MARK ENNIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and  
MICHAEL STUART,

Defendants.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 24, 2015

  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below. For each Yes or No question, please circle your answer.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

**Shannon Campbell – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7**

1. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes                      No

2. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes                      No

**Mark Ennis – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7**

3. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes                      No

4. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes                      No

**Shannon Campbell – Bane Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1**

5. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes                      No

6. If you answered Yes to Question 5, please identify the number of Bane Act violations for which Feld Entertainment, Inc. is liable.

\_\_\_\_\_

7. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

**Mark Ennis – Bane Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1**

8. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes No

9. If you answered Yes to Question 8, please identify the number of Bane Act violations for which Feld Entertainment, Inc. is liable.

\_\_\_\_\_

10. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes No

**Shannon Campbell – Battery**

11. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

12. If you answered Yes to Question 11, was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense?

Yes No

13. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

14. If you answered Yes to Question 13, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense?

Yes No

**Mark Ennis – Battery**

15. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis:

(a) On August 7, 2012, in Oakland, California?

Yes No

(b) On August 18, 2012, in San Jose, California?

Yes No

16. If you answered Yes to Question 15(a), was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense on August 7, 2012, in Oakland, California?

Yes No

17. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis on August 7, 2012, in Oakland, California?

Yes No

18. If you answered Yes to Question 17, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense on August 7, 2012, in Oakland, California?

Yes No

**Shannon Campbell – Assault**

19. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for an assault(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

20. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for an assault as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

**Shannon Campbell – Damages**

**Answer the questions below if you answered Yes to any of the following: Questions 1, 2, 5, 7, 11 (only if you also answered No to Question 12), 13 (only if you also answered No to Question 14), 19, or 20. If you did not, proceed below to the section entitled “Mark Ennis – Damages.”**

21. What amount, if any, do you award Shannon Campbell as damages?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Mark Ennis – Damages**

**Answer the questions below if you answered Yes to any of the following: Questions 3, 4, 8, 10, 15(a) (only if you also answered No to Question 16), 15(b), or 17 (only if you also answered No to Question 18). If you did not, stop here and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.**

22. What amount, if any, do you award Mark Ennis as damages?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

You have now reached the end of this Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Presiding Juror